

# The testing environment

Nicola Ferro Information Management Systems Research Group Department of Information Engineering University of Padua, Italy



Università degli Studi di Padova



### **Evaluation Model**



- evaluation process: during this process each supplier is individually examined and it is rated according to its characteristics.
  - The evaluation process is formalized through the evaluation matrix
  - The outcome of the evaluation process is the supplier score, that is a number representing the rating achieved by the supplier;
- comparison process: once the suppliers have been rated, they are compared with each other their supplier's score basis.
  - The comparison process is formalized through the comparison matrix
  - The outcome of the comparison process is a ranking of the suppliers, based on their ratings



Università degli Studi di Padova



# Evaluation Process: Building Blocks (1/2)



Category								
	Dimension 1	Dimension 2	Dimension 3					
Item 1								
Item 2								

- Category: represents a main aspect of a system under examination. A category covers homogeneous properties of a system;
- Item: describes an elementary constituent of a category and it is used to detail a category;
- Dimension: takes into account different angles of a category, i.e. different facets and standpoints of a category. For example, within the same category we may consider the viewpoint of both a technician and an user.



Università degli Studi di Padova



# Evaluation Process: Building Blocks (2/2)



Categor	y 1						
	Dimension 1	Dimension 2	Dimension 3				
Item 1							
Itom 2							
Category 2							
	Dimension 1		Dimension 3				
Item 1							
Itom 2							



Università degli Studi di Padova





# **Evaluation Process:** Evaluation Matrix (1/2)



- item score: is the weighted sum of the item subscores, i.e. the rating in a dimension of that item.
- category score: is the weighted sum of the item scores for each item within that category.
- supplier score: is the weighted sum of the category scores for each category.



UNIVERSITÀ **DEGLI STUDI** DI PADOVA



European Commission

PROGRAMME

# Evaluation Process:



$$C_{i} = \beta_{i,1}I_{i,1} + \beta_{i,2}I_{i,2} + \ldots + \beta_{i,k_{i}}I_{i,k_{i}} = \sum_{j=1}^{i} \beta_{i,j}I_{i,j}$$

$$I_{i,j} = \gamma'_{i}I'_{i,j} + \gamma''_{i}I''_{i,j} + \gamma'''_{i''}I'''_{i,j}$$

# 5 points likert scale (1- bad; 5 - excellent) All weights normalized to 1



Università degli Studi di Padova

PREFORMA - InfoDay Brussels, 4<sup>th</sup> April 2014



**PREFORMA** 

#### Evaluation Process: Evaluation Matrix Example



Supplier name: Vendor 1.								
	Dimension 1	Dimension 2	Dimension 3	Total				
Category 1 ( $\alpha_1 = 0.3$ )	$\gamma_1' = 1$	$\gamma_1'' = 0$	$\gamma_1^{\prime\prime\prime} = 0$					
Item 1 ( $\beta_{1,1} = 0.4$ )	3.00	_	_	3.00				
Item 2 ( $\beta_{1,2} = 0.6$ )	4.00	_	_	4.00				
Category 1 score	3.60			3.60				
Category 2 ( $\alpha_2 = 0.7$ )	$\gamma_2' = 0.4$	$\gamma_2'' = 0.3$	$\gamma_2^{\prime\prime\prime} = 0.3$					
Item 1 ( $\beta_{2,1} = 0.3$ )	2.00	2.00	3.00	2.30				
Item 2 ( $\beta_{2,2} = 0.1$ )	4.00	3.00	2.00	3.10				
Item 3 ( $\beta_{2,3} = 0.4$ )	5.00	2.00	4.00	3.80				
Item 4 ( $\beta_{2,4} = 0.2$ )	1.00	3.00	3.00	2.20				
Category 2 score	3.20	2.30	3.30	2.96				
Supplier Score								





#### Evaluation Matrix: Dimensions

- Off-line Expert: the analysis of this solution is conducted by an expert, who is evaluating the solution. This expert can be either a technician or a manager, who is involved in evaluation of the system.
- On-line Expert: the experimental verification of the solution is conducted by an expert person, who verifies and tests the solution.
- On-line User: concerns the every day utilization of the solution by an end-user, who tries it. The findings are a summary of opinions and the degree of user satisfaction.



Università degli Studi di Padova

PREFORMA - InfoDay Brussels, 4<sup>th</sup> April 2014





**PREFORMA** 

# Evaluation Matrix: Categories (1/2)



- Architecture: concerns infrastructural aspects, technical specifications and system features of a system.
- Services and features: concerns functionalities and services offered by a system.
- Performances and quality: evaluates the general performances and the quality, which are measured from both an objective and a subjective point of view.
- Future proof: concerns perspectives of future development of a system
- Support and tools: concerns tools for administration of system and the support offered by the supplier.
- Delivery and installation: concerns aspects regarding the delivery and installation of a system
- Costs: concerns the financial aspects of a system
- Risk factors: concerns the riskiness of a system and the acceptance of these risks.



Università degli Studi di Padova



## Evaluation Matrix: Categories (2/2)







#### **Evaluation Matrix: Categories and Dimensions**







European

Commission

DI PADOVA

Brussels, 4<sup>th</sup> April 2014



# Thank you!

Nicola Ferro University of Padua – UNIPD ferro@dei.unipd.it



Università degli Studi di Padova

